

D2



Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets

⑪ Publication number:

0 403 141  
A1

⑫

## EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

㉑ Application number: 90306107.5

㉒ Date of filing: 05.06.90

㉓ Int. Cl. 5: B01J 29/06, C10G 11/05,  
B01J 29/04

㉔ Priority: 05.06.89 US 361892

㉕ Date of publication of application:  
19.12.90 Bulletin 90/51

㉖ Designated Contracting States:  
BE DE FR GB IT NL

㉗ Applicant: EXXON RESEARCH AND  
ENGINEERING COMPANY  
P.O.Box 390, 180 Park Avenue  
Florham Park, New Jersey 07932(US)

㉘ Inventor: Eberly, Paul Earl, Jr.  
9440 Ventura Drive  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana(US)

㉙ Representative: Somers, Harold Arnold et al  
ESSO Engineering (Europe) Ltd. Patents &  
Licences Mailpoint 72 Esso House Ermyn  
Way  
Leatherhead, Surrey KT22 8XE(GB)

㉚ Improved cracking catalyst and process.

㉛ A catalyst is provided which comprises a crystalline zeolite, discrete particles of phosphorus containing alumina, particularly an alkaline earth metal phosphate-containing alumina, dispersed in a non-zeolitic inorganic oxide matrix. A catalytic cracking process utilizing the catalyst is also provided. Such phosphate treated catalysts exhibit increased attrition resistance.

EP 0 403 141 A1

## IMPROVED CRACKING CATALYST AND PROCESS

Background of the Invention

The present invention relates to a catalyst and its use in a catalytic cracking process. More particularly, this invention relates to a zeolite containing cracking catalyst in combination with an alkaline earth metal phosphate and its use in a catalytic cracking zone.

In commercial catalytic cracking processes, a trend in recent years has been to use heavier feed stocks which contain more Conradson carbon precursors and metal contaminants such as nickel, vanadium, and iron. As a result, more coke is made in the catalytic cracking zone, leading to higher regenerator temperatures and requiring in some cases the use of catalyst coolers. In addition to excessive coke making, other factors such as metal contaminants lead to deactivation and destruction of the active zeolite component. Product selectivity also suffers, leading to more gas production, especially hydrogen, and lower gasoline yield.

To overcome these adverse effects, at least partially, alumina is frequently added to the catalyst mixture in the cracking zone to assist in bottoms conversion, and increase the catalyst material's resistance to nitrogen and metals. However, coke making is increased. It has previously been found that monoammonium phosphate (MAP) treatment of the alumina is effective in lowering coke while still maintaining the desirably resistance to the aforementioned contaminants. While the MAP treatment is a desirable improvement in cracking heavy feed, some disadvantages accrue since MAP is soluble and only a portion is deposited on the catalyst requiring the manufacturer to employ additional steps to recover the remaining phosphate from the effluent plant streams. Also, the MAP treatment has been found to form a catalyst which is less resistant to attrition.

There is therefore a need for a catalyst for the cracking of petroleum based feedstocks which makes less coke, has increased metals resistance, exhibits lower attrition, and is easier to manufacture.

Hydrocarbon cracking catalysts comprising a zeolite and discrete particles of alumina dispersed in an inorganic oxide matrix are known. See, for example, U.S. Patent No. 4,283,309 and U.S. Patent 4,259,212. Although the added alumina particles, which in themselves prior to being composited with the other components have relatively little cracking activity, the catalysts comprising the added alumina particles have increased activity, increased vanadium resistance, and increased bottoms conversion. However, such catalysts exhibit undesired coke production.

U.S. Patent Nos. 4,584,091 and 4,657,152 to Pine disclose that by treating the alumina particles with certain phosphorus compounds prior to compositing the alumina particles with the other catalysts or catalysts precursor components, the catalyst comprising the phosphorus-treated alumina particles has increased selectivity for naphtha components and produces less coke and gas.

U.S. Patent No. 4,454,241 discloses a phosphorus containing zeolitic catalyst made from a clay starting material. The catalyst is obtained by contacting a partially cation exchanged calcined zeolite-containing catalyst with a dihydrogen phosphate anion, e.g. ammonium hydrogen phosphate or dihydrogen phosphate anion.

U.S. Patent No. 3,507,778 discloses a zeolite in combination with a phosphate promoted silica-magnesia catalyst for cracking petroleum fractions. Example 4 discloses an ammonium phosphate.

U.S. Patent No. 4,228,036 discloses a cracking catalyst comprising an alumina-aluminum phosphate silica matrix composited with a zeolite.

U.S. Patent No. 4,179,358 discloses a cracking catalyst comprising zeolite dispersed in a magnesia-alumina-aluminum phosphate matrix.

U.S. Patent No. 4,430,199 discloses passivation of contaminant metals on cracking catalysts by phosphorous addition. The phosphorus compound may be ammonium hydrogen phosphate. The phosphorus compound may be impregnated on an inert carrier such as calcined metakaolin clay that can be blended with the catalyst or added to the catalyst.

In particular, reference is made to column 3, line 17 to 20 and column 10, lines 20 to 25.

50

Summary of the Invention

In accordance with the invention, there is provided, a catalyst combination comprising: (a) a crystalline metallosilicate zeolite; (b) a non-zeolitic inorganic oxide matrix; and (c) discrete particles of phosphorous-containing alumina dispersed in said matrix, said discrete particles having been prepared by contacting

alumina with a phosphorus compound selected from the group consisting of an alkaline earth metal salt of phosphoric acid or phosphorous acid and mixtures thereof for a time sufficient to incorporate an effective amount of phosphorus and alkaline earth in said alumina. In accordance with the invention, there is also provided a catalytic cracking process utilizing the above-stated catalyst.

5

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

The present invention is directed to a catalyst comprising a zeolite, discrete particles of alumina containing an alkaline earth metal salt of phosphoric or phosphorous acid and a non-zeolite inorganic oxide matrix. It has been found that such a catalyst exhibits significant improved attrition properties to otherwise similar catalysts.

In addition to an improved catalyst, the present invention is directed to the process of making the catalyst. The process is advantageous because less environmental pollution is produced. The alkaline earth phosphorous containing compounds used to make the present zeolites are less soluble in water than other phosphorous containing compounds. Consequently, industrial waste from the manufacturing process is minimized and, at the same time, waste disposal is less of a problem since it is less detrimental to the environment.

20

#### The Zeolite Component

Suitable zeolites for use as component of the catalyst of the present invention are any of the naturally occurring or synthetic crystalline zeolites. Examples of these zeolites include the zeolites designated by the Linde Division of Union Carbide by the letters X, Y, A, L (These zeolites are described in U.S. Patent Nos. 2,882,244; 3,130,007; 3,882,243; and 3,216,789, respectively). Naturally occurring crystalline zeolites such as faujasite, chabazite, erionite, mordenite, offretite, gmelinite, analcite, etc., ZSM-5 zeolites, such as described in U.S. Patent No. 3,702,886; ferrosilicates; zeolites such as those described in U.S. Patent No. 4,238,318; borosilicate zeolites such as those described in Belgian Patent No. 859656.

In general, the chemical formula of anhydrous crystalline metallosilicate zeolites expressed in terms of moles may be represented by the formula:  $0.9 \pm 0.2 M_{2n}O:W_2O_3:ZSiO_2$ , wherein M is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, hydrogen precursors, monovalent, divalent and trivalent cations and mixtures thereof; n is the valence of the cation and Z is a number of at least 2; preferably at least 3, said value being dependent upon the particular type of zeolite. W, a metal in the anionic framework structure of the zeolite, may be aluminum, gallium, boron, iron, etc. Preferably, the zeolite is a crystalline aluminosilicate zeolite. More preferably, the zeolite is a Y-type zeolite. By "Y-type zeolite" is intended herein a zeolite having a silica to alumina mole ratio of at least about 3, the structure of faujasite and uniform pore diameters ranging from about 6 to about 15 Angstroms. Most preferably, the zeolite has a unit cell size below 24.7 Angstroms. For example, zeolites having unit cell size below about 24.5 Angstroms are known as "stabilized" or "ultrastable". Y-type faujasite described in U.S. Patent No. 3,293,192; U.S. Patent No. Re 28,629 (Reissue of U.S. Patent No. 3,402,996); U.S. Patent No. 4,036,739; U.S. Patent No. 3,781,199 and U.S. Patent No. 4,036,739. The zeolites as produced or found in nature normally contain an alkali metal cation such as sodium and/or potassium and/or an alkaline earth metal cation such as calcium and magnesium. The zeolites differ from each other in structure, composition and ratio of silica to metal oxide contained in the crystal lattice. For use as hydrocarbon conversion catalyst component, it is usually necessary to decrease the alkali metal content of the crystalline metallosilicate zeolite to a content of less than 10 wt.%, preferably less than 6 wt.%, more preferably less than 1 wt.%. The alkali metal content reduction, as is known in the art, may be conducted by exchange with one or more metal cations as well as with a hydrogen cation or hydrogen precursor (e.g.  $NH_4^+$ ) capable of conversion to the hydrogen cation. Preferred cations include rare earth metals, calcium, magnesium, hydrogen and mixtures thereof. Ion exchange methods are well-known in the art and are described, for example, in U.S. Patent No. 3,140,249; U.S. Patent No. 3,140,251 and U.S. Patent No. 3,142,353, the teachings of which are hereby incorporated by reference. The concentration of hydrogen cation in the finished zeolite will be that concentration equivalent to the difference between the theoretical cation concentrations of the particular zeolite and the amount of cation present in the form of exchanged metal cation and a residual alkali metal cation.

The particle size of the zeolite component may range from about 0.1 to 10 microns, preferably from about 0.5 to 3 microns. Suitable amounts of the zeolite component in the total catalyst will range from about 1 to about 70, preferably from about 1 to about 40, more preferably from about 10 to 40 wt.% based on the

total catalyst.

#### The Alumina Component

5       The alumina component of the catalyst of the present invention is present as discrete particles of alumina treated with an alkaline metal earth salt of phosphorous with or without phosphoric acid. Suitable alumina starting material for the phosphorous treatment of the present invention is alumina having a total surface area, as measured by the method of Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) greater than 20 square meters per gram ( $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ ), preferably greater than 140  $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ , for example, from about 145 to 400  $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ .  
10      Preferably the pore volume (BET) of alumina will be greater than 0.10 cc/g. The alumina may comprise a minor amount of silica such as suitably from about 0.1 to 15 weight percent, preferably from about 0.1 to 6 weight percent silica, based on the weight of the alumina component of the particles. Preferably, the porous alumina will be bulk alumina. Although size is not critical, a finer particle size is preferable since it permits a  
15      more compact catalyst particle to be formed having higher attrition resistance. The material produced by ball milling, for example, is preferred. The term "bulk" with reference to the alumina is intended herein to designate a material which has been preformed and placed in a physical form such that its surface area and porous structure are stabilized so that when it is added to an inorganic matrix containing residual soluble salts, the salts will not alter the surface and pore characteristics measurably. The initial alumina particles are  
20      contacted with an alkaline earth phosphorus compound for a time sufficient to composite phosphorus and alkaline earth with the alumina particles.

25      Suitable alkaline earth phosphates contain one or more elements of Group IIA of the periodic table. These include beryllium, magnesium, calcium, strontium, and barium. Preferably, the phosphate additive is magnesium, calcium, strontium or barium phosphate and most preferably magnesium or calcium phosphate. The phosphate compounds are sparingly soluble materials. This property enables more efficient use since limited losses will occur in the effluent water. Also the alkaline earth phosphates will not tend to exchange with the zeolite incurring loss in cracking activity.

30      Suitable amounts of phosphorous to be incorporated with the alumina include at least about 0.1 wt%, generally from about 0.1 to 7 wt%, preferably at least 0.2 wt%, more preferably from about 0.5 wt% to 5.0 wt%, calculated as elemental phosphorous, based on the weight of the alumina. With these preferred amounts of phosphorous, a corresponding amount of alkaline earth will be associated according to the formula,  $M_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ . Thus, if the alkaline earth is magnesium, the broad range includes 0.42 to 29.7%  $\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , preferably at least 0.85 wt%, more preferably from 2.12 wt% to 21.2%. For the other alkaline earth phosphates, including beryllium, calcium, strontium, and barium, the preferred amounts can be readily calculated from their respective molecular weights. Contact of the alumina with a liquid medium (such as water) comprising the phosphorus compound is suitably conducted at a pH ranging from about 2.0 to about 8.0. Suitable concentrations of the phosphorus compound in the liquid medium may range from about 0.05 to about 5 wt%. Treating time and temperatures are not critical and may range from about ambient temperature, that is, from about 60 °F to about 250 °F. The phosphorus-treated alumina particles are recovered from the liquid medium (dried for example, at a temperature of about 800 °F for 2 hours). The resulting phosphorus-treated alumina particles may suitably be present in the catalyst of the present invention in amounts ranging from about 5 to about 50 wt%, preferably from about 10 to about 30 wt%, based on total catalyst.

#### The Inorganic Oxide Matrix Component

45      The inorganic oxide matrices suitable as component of catalysts of the present invention are nonzeolitic inorganic oxides, such as silica, alumina, silica-alumina, magnesia, boria, titania, zirconia and mixtures thereof. The matrices may include one or more of various known clays, such as montmorillonite, kaolin, halloysite, bentonite, attapulgite, and the like. Most preferably, the inorganic oxide will be a silica, or alumina, or a nonzeolitic silica-alumina combined with an appropriate amount of clay. Suitable matrices include the type of matrices prepared from a sol such as described in U.S. Patent No. 3,867,308; U.S. Patent No. 3,957,689 and U.S. Patent No. 4,458,023. The matrix component may be present in the catalyst of the present invention in an amount ranging from about 40 to about 99 weight percent, preferably from about 60 to about 90 wt% based on the total catalyst. It is also within the scope of the invention to incorporate in the catalyst other materials to be employed in cracking catalysts such as various other types of zeolites, clays, carbon monoxide oxidation promoters, etc.

Catalyst Preparation

The catalyst of the present invention may be prepared by any one of several methods. The alkaline earth phosphates can be added to the catalyst in any one or more of several ways. For example the phosphate can be added to the alumina either by slurry, partial dissolution with acidified aqueous media (i.e., use of phosphoric acid), dry mixing, or dry mixing coupled with ball milling. Alternatively, the alkaline earth phosphate can be directly added to the catalyst composite. The latter can contain one or more of the following components: an active zeolite component; silica; alumina; silica/alumina; clay, and other additives which are well known in the prior art.

A preferred method of preparing one of the catalysts of the present invention, that is, a catalyst comprising a silica-alumina matrix and phosphorus-treated alumina particles dispersed in the matrix, is to react sodium silicate with a solution of sulfuric acid and aluminum sulfate to form a silica-alumina sol. Separately, the bulk alumina is made, for example, by reacting solutions of sodium aluminate and aluminum sulfate under suitable conditions, aging the slurry to give the desired pore properties of the alumina, filtering, drying, reslurrying in water to remove sodium and sulfate ions and drying to reduce the volatile matter content to less than 15 wt.%. The alumina is then treated with an alkaline earth phosphate compound as previously described. The phosphate-treated alumina particles are slurried in water and blended in proper amounts with a slurry of impure silica-alumina sol and clay. The zeolite component is added to this blend. Preferably, the zeolite is a Y-type zeolite having a unit cell size below about 24.7 Angstroms preferably below 24.5 Angstroms. A sufficient amount of each component is utilized to give the desired final composition. The resulting mixture is then spray dried to produce dry solids. The dry solids are subsequently reslurried in water and washed substantially free of undesired soluble salts. The catalyst is then dried to a residual water content of less than about 15 wt.%. The dried catalyst is recovered. The catalyst of the present invention is particularly suited for catalytic cracking of hydrocarbons.

Catalytic cracking with the catalysts of the present invention can be conducted in any conventional catalytic cracking manner. Suitable catalytic cracking conditions include a temperature ranging from about 700° to about 1,300° F and a pressure ranging from about subatmospheric to about five atmospheres, typically from about atmospheric to about 60 psig. The process may be carried out in a moving bed, ebullating bed, slurry, transfer line, or fluidized bed operation. Preferably, the process is conducted in a transfer line, or fluidized bed reactor. The catalyst of the present invention can be used to convert any of the conventional hydrocarbon feeds used in catalytic cracking, that is, it can be used to crack naphthas, gas oils and residual oils having a high content of metal contaminants. It is especially suited for cracking hydrocarbons boiling in the gas oil range, that is, hydrocarbon oils having an atmospheric pressure boiling point ranging from about 450° to about 1,100° F to yield products having a lower boiling point while producing less coke and gas.

Description of the Preferred Embodiment

The following examples are presented to illustrate the invention. Catalysts of the invention and comparative catalysts were prepared and tested for cracking activity in a standard micro-activity test (MAT) described in the Oil and Gas Journal, 1966 vol. 64, pages 7, 84, 85 and November 2, 1971 pages 60-68. Two hydrocarbonaceous oil feedstocks were used, herein designated "FS-5078" and "FS-5363." The characteristics of these feed-stocks are given in the following table:

45	50	55
Crude oil	FS-5078	FS-5363
Specific gravity	0.88	0.88
Viscosity, cSt at 100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 210° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 320° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 430° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 540° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 650° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 760° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 870° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 980° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1090° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1310° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1420° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1530° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1640° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1750° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1860° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 1970° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2080° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2190° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2410° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2520° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2630° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2740° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2850° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 2960° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3070° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3180° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3290° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3510° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3620° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3730° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3840° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 3950° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4060° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4170° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4280° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4390° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4610° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4720° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4830° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 4940° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5050° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5160° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5270° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5380° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5490° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5710° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5820° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 5930° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6040° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6150° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6260° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6370° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6480° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6590° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6810° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 6920° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7030° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7140° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7250° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7360° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7470° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7580° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7690° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 7910° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8020° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8130° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8240° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8350° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8460° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8570° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8680° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8790° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 8900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9010° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9120° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9230° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9340° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9450° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9560° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9670° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9780° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9890° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 9900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 10900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 11900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 12900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 13900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 14900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 15900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 16900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 17900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 18900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 19900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 20900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 21900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 22900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 23900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 24900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25700° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25800° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 25900° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 26000° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 26100° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 26200° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 26300° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 26400° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 26500° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at 26600° F	100	100
Viscosity, cSt at		

Feedstock Inspections		
Feedstock No.	5078	5363
Gravity, API	26.2	22.5
Sulfur, wt%	n/a	1.15
Nitrogen, ppm	569	633
Aniline Point, °F	166.5	179
Refractive Index	1.4803	1.4928
Pour Point, °F	65	90
HiVac C Dist °F		
IBP,	582	710
5%	594	740
10%	624	752
20%	655	788
30%	671	810
40%	687	821
50%	705	852
60%	721	873
70%	739	931
80%	756	936
90%	770	966
95%	786	990
FBP	802	1025

The term, "Specific Coke", is intended herein to be defined as  $(\text{Wt% Coke on Feed})/x(1-x)$ , where x is the fraction of feed converted to material boiling below 400 °F. As usual in catalytic cracking, the coke is included in the material defined as boiling below 400 °F. Similarly, the "Specific Hydrogen" is defined as  $(\text{Wt% Hydrogen on Feed})/x(1-x)$ , where x has the same definition as before. In the examples described below, the starting alumina was straight run alumina (SRA) commercially available from Davison Chemical Company.

#### Comparative Example 1

An alumina mixture was made by charging 2 jars with 1902 grams of as received SRA alumina, 71.6% solids, and 10 lbs. water. The contents were ball milled overnight and discharged into a plastic bucket using 40 10 lbs. more water for rinse. 1948 grams of ultra stable Y zeolite were slurried in. The ultra stable Y zeolite used was Davison's grade Z-14 US (96.8% solids). The mixture was colloid milled.

Meanwhile, a silica-alumina gel was made as follows: a sodium silicate solution was made by diluting 13.9 lbs. of sodium silicate with 18.9 lbs. of water. An alum solution was made by dissolving 3217 gms. of alum, containing the equivalent of 15.9%  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , in 8.9 lbs.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The alum solution was then mixed with 45 24.2 lbs. of 20 wt% sulfuric acid solution. A silica-alumina sol was made by mixing the sodium silicate solution with enough of the acid-alum solution to produce a sol having a pH of 3.0.

The silica-alumina sol was cooled and diluted by adding 7.0 lbs. of ice. Then, 2993 grams of Thiele clay were slurried in and the mixture colloid milled. The pH of the alumina-zeolite slurry was adjusted to 3.3-3.7 using 20% sulfuric acid and the slurry added to the silica-alumina-clay slurry. The mixture was stirred 50 5 minutes and then spray dried at 650 °F inlet, 350 °F outlet, 25,000 rpm adjusting the Moyno pump to keep these conditions. The spray dried catalyst was washed several times with ammonium sulfate solutions that contained added  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  to raise the pH to 8.0. The catalyst was then dried at 150 °C for 16 hours and then calcined 4 hours at 1,000 °F. The final composition of the catalyst was 20% USY zeolite, 30% SRA alumina, 30% kaolin, and 20% silica. A portion was steamed for 16 hours at 1,400 °F for analytical and MAT activity tests. The catalyst is designated here "Catalyst A" and is not a catalyst of the invention, but was prepared for comparative purposes, as more fully explained below.

Comparative Example 2

5 A phosphorous containing solution was made by dissolving 600 gms. of monoammonium phosphate (MAP) in 70 lbs. of water. Alumina (16.0 lbs.) that had been previously calcined for 4 hours at 1,000° F was slurred into the phosphorous containing solution. The treatment is equivalent to 60 grams of MAP per lb. of alumina. The mixture was stirred about 2 hours at room temperature and then filtered. The wet cake was rinsed with distilled water and dried. The dried product was then calcined for 4 hours at 1,000° F. The phosphate treated alumina calculated to contain 3.56 wt.% P.

10 An alumina mixture was made by charging each of 2 jars with 1362 grams of the MAP treated alumina and 10 lbs. of water. The mixture was ball milled for 16 hours and discharged into a plastic bucket using 10 lbs. more water for rinse. 1948 grams of ultrastable Y zeolite were slurried in. The brand of zeolite was Davison's grade Z-14 US (98.8% solids). The mixture was colloid milled. Meanwhile, a silica-alumina gel was made as follows: a sodium silicate solution was made by diluting 13.9 lbs. of sodium silicate with 18.9 lbs. of water. An alum solution was made by dissolving 3217 gms of alum, containing the equivalent of 15.9%  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , in 8.9 lbs.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The alum solution was then mixed with 24.2 lbs. of 20 wt.% sulfuric acid solution. A silica-alumina sol was made by mixing the sodium silicate solution with enough of the acid-alum solution to produce a sol having a pH of 3.0.

15 The silica-alumina sol was cooled and diluted by adding 7.0 lbs. of ice. Then 2993 grams of kaolin clay from Thiele, Georgia were slurried in and the mixture colloid milled. The pH of the alumina-zeolite slurry was adjusted to 3.5 to 3.7 using 20% sulfuric acid and the slurry added to the silica-alumina-clay slurry. The mixture was stirred 5 minutes and then spray dried at 25,000 rpm; 550° F inlet; 350° F outlet, adjusting the Moyno pump to maintain these conditions. About 10.8 lbs. total material were obtained. The spray dried catalyst was washed several times with ammonium sulfate solutions that contained added  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  to raise the pH to 8.0. The catalyst was then dried at 150° C for 16 hours and then calcined 4 hours at 1,000° F.

20 The final composition was 20% USY, 30% MAP treated alumina, 30% clay and 20% silica. The catalyst is designated herein "Catalyst B" and is not a catalyst of the invention, but was prepared for comparative purposes as more fully explained below.

Example 3

25 This catalyst material was prepared analogously to the composition of Example 1, however, 3% magnesium phosphate was added. 5 lbs. of a catalyst identical to Catalyst A of Example 1 was steamed for 16 hours at 1,400° F. Magnesium phosphate  $\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (100 g) was ball milled 16 hours. A slurry was formed from 13.6 g of this magnesium phosphate in one liter of water and 291 grams of the previously steamed catalyst was added. The slurry was put through a colloid mill, filtered, dried and calcined. For MAT testing, the catalyst was steamed 16 hours at 1,400° F.

30 The final composition of the catalyst was 3%  $\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ , deposited on a 20% USY zeolite, 30% SRA alumina, 30% clay, and 20%  $\text{SiO}_2$  (300 grams). The catalyst is designated herein "Catalyst C" and is a catalyst of the present invention.

Example 4

35 The catalyst material was prepared analogously to the composition of Example 2, however, 10% magnesium phosphate in SRA alumina was used instead of MAP. 227 grams of  $\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was mixed with SRA alumina (71.6% solids) and ball milled overnight. 7 lbs. of water were used as rinse. The material was filtered and dried at 150° C for 16 hours. Then, it was calcined for 4 hours at 1000° F. The magnesium phosphate treated alumina (681 g) was ball milled with 6 lbs. of water overnight. The mixture was discharged with 2 lbs. of water for rinse. The remainder of the preparation was the same or analogous to the preparation of Catalyst A in Example 1 above.

40 The final composition of the catalyst was 20% USY zeolite, 30% (10%  $\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$  treated SRA), 30% clay, and 20% silica. The catalyst is designated herein "Catalyst D" and is a catalyst of the present invention.

### Example 5

The preparation of this catalyst material was analogous to the preparation in Example 4, with the exception that 20% magnesium phosphate on SRA alumina was used instead of 10%. 5 lbs. of material was made using 681 g of 20%  $Mg_3(PO_4)_2$  on alumina, 469 g of Z-14 USY, and 748 g Thiele Clay. The final composition of the catalyst was 20% USY catalyst, 30% (20%  $Mg_3(PO_4)_2$  treated SRA), 30% clay and 20% silica. This catalyst is designated herein "Catalyst E" and is a catalyst of the present invention.

### Example 6

The preparation was exactly the same as in Example 5, except that the SRA alumina was treated with 30% magnesium phosphate instead of 20%. The final composition of the catalyst was 20% USY zeolite, 30% (30%  $Mg_3(PO_4)_2$  treated SRA), 30% clay, and 20% silica (5 lbs.). This catalyst is designated "Catalyst E" herein and is a catalyst of the present invention.

### Comparative Example 7

This catalyst preparation was the same as Example 1 above, except that a higher alumina content and a lower zeolite USY content was used. 1268 g. of SRA alumina (71.6% solids) was ball milled with 6 lbs. of water overnight. The mixture was discharged with 2 lbs. of water for rinse. The Davison Z-14 USY as received (243 g.) zeolite was slurried in and put through a colloid mill. The silica-alumina sol was made as in Example 1, except that only one fourth of the amount of sol was used. Ice in the amount of 1.78 lbs. were added to the sol and then 748 g. of Thiele clay was slurried in. The slurry was colloid milled and the pH adjusted to 3.0 to 3.2 using 20% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The silica-alumina slurry was added to the zeolite-alumina slurry and stirred 5 minutes. The resulting mixture was spray dried at 350° F bottom temperature and 30,000 rpm with a Moyno pump (2.0 setting). The final composition of the catalyst was 10% USY zeolite, 40% SRA alumina, 30% clay, and 20% silica. This catalyst is designated "Catalyst G" herein and is not a catalyst of the present invention.

### Example 8

This catalyst material was prepared analogously to the catalyst of Example 2, except that the SRA alumina was treated with an acidified solution of 10% magnesium phosphate.

Magnesium phosphate (66% solids) (229g) was slurried in 17 lbs. water. Phosphoric acid was added to the slurry until the pH was equal to about 4. This was done in order to induce partial solubility of the magnesium phosphate. The SRA alumina (1902 g) was then added and the slurry stirred with heating for 6-8 hours. The material was filtered, washed, dried, and calcined for 4 hours at 1,000 °F. The remainder of the preparation was identical to that for Catalyst A.

45 preparation was identical to that for Catalyst A.  
The final composition of the catalyst was 10% USY zeolite, 40% of SRA alumina treated with acidified  
10% magnesium phosphate, 30% clay, and 20% silicon. This catalyst is designated herein as "Catalyst H"  
and is a catalyst of the present invention.

### Example 9

This catalyst material was the same as in Example 7, except that 908 g of  $Mg_3PO_4$  was slurried in 17 lbs. water. The alumina was added to the slurry and the mixture stirred with heating for 6-8 hours. After filtering, washing, drying and calcining, the catalyst was prepared according to the procedure for Catalyst A. The final composition was 10% USY, 40% of an SRA alumina which had been treated with 10% magnesium phosphate, 30% clay, and 20% silica. This catalyst material is designated herein "Catalyst I"

and is a catalyst of the present invention.

Example 10

5

This catalyst material was prepared as in example 7, except that 908 g of magnesium phosphate or  $Mg_3(PO_4)_2$  treated alumina was used. Also, the alumina was ball milled with the magnesium phosphate in water for a 16 hour period. The final composition was 10% USY zeolite, 40% of an SRA alumina which had 10 10 been treated with 10% magnesium phosphate, 30% clay, and 20% silica. This catalyst material is designated herein as "Catalyst J" and is a catalyst of the present invention.

Example 11

15

This catalyst material was prepared as in Example 7, except that 908 g of dicalcium phosphate or  $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$  treated alumina was used. Also, the alumina was slurried, but not ball milled with the calcium phosphate. The final composition was 10% USY zeolite, 40% SRA alumina treated with 10% dicalcium 20 phosphate, 30% clay and 20% silica. This catalyst material is designated "Catalyst K" herein and is a catalyst of the present invention.

Table I below compares the performance of the catalysts prepared in the above examples, basically of 20% USY, 30% alumina, 30% clay, and 20% silica. The alumina component has been treated in various manners as indicated in the table. In one case, Catalyst C, the entire catalyst was treated with magnesium phosphate. From the results shown with the lighter feed (FS-5078), it is apparent the Catalyst A containing the untreated alumina gives the highest specific coke. This is reduced by treating the alumina with monoammonium phosphate (MAP); however, the Davison attrition is greater than desired. All the catalyst containing magnesium phosphate give lower specific cokes than the MAP Catalyst A while, at the same time, maintaining good attrition resistance. Similar results are observed with the MAT data on the heavier feed (FS-5363).

Further data are shown in Table II below on the catalysts containing 10% USY, 30% clay, 20% silica, and 40% of alumina treated in various ways with magnesium and calcium phosphate. In all cases the specific coke was less than that seen with Catalyst G containing untreated alumina.

The use of an alkaline earth metal phosphate is also seen to improve the metal tolerance of the 35 catalyst. Data illustrating this effect are shown in Table III. With each catalyst, a portion was impregnated with vanadium acetylacetone, dried, calcined, and steamed at 1,400° F. Both materials suffered a loss in activity and an increase in specific coke and hydrogen upon vanadium impregnation. However, Catalyst J containing the magnesium phosphate shows much less of a loss in activity and a considerably lower specific coke than Catalyst G having the untreated alumina. This illustrates the remarkable ability of 40 magnesium phosphate to dilute the deleterious effects of vanadium. Similar beneficial effects are seen with the nickel impregnated catalysts upon magnesium phosphate addition.

Attrition resistance of catalysts can be a major problem in catalytic cracking especially in regard to grid plugging. The effect of alumina addition, MAP treatment, and magnesium phosphate addition are seen in Table IV below. As the amount of alumina is increased, the catalyst attrits more easily. The catalysts 45 containing the MAP treated aluminas appear to attrit more easily than those having the untreated aluminas. The example of the magnesium phosphate treated alumina gives an attrition value only slightly higher than the untreated alumina.

50

55

TABLE I

Catalysts Containing 20% USY - 30% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - 30% CLAY - 20% SiO <sub>2</sub>							
Catalyst	A	B	C	D	E	F	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Treatment %	NONE 0	MAP(1) 0	Total Cat. TRTD with 3% Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> 3	10% Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> 3	20% Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> 6	30% Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> 9	
Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Dav. Attrition, %	5.0	17.0	--	6.0	4.0	4.0	
STMD. 16 H @ 1400 °F							
Conv., % (FS-5078)	62.1	58.7	58.4	63.1	62.9	61.4	
H <sub>2</sub> , %	0.0479	0.0341	0.0415	0.0468	0.0431	0.040	
Coke, %	2.178	1.636	1.408	1.739	1.572	1.615	
Sp. H <sub>2</sub>	0.029	0.024	0.030	0.027	0.025	0.025	
Sp. Coke	1.329	1.151	1.003	1.017	0.929	1.015	
Conv., % (FS-5363)	73.0	--	68.3	71.9	71.4	66.9	
400-650	21.4	--	24.7	22.3	22.2	22.8	
YLD., %							
H <sub>2</sub> , %	0.065	--	0.060	0.065	0.058	0.052	
Coke, %	3.796	--	2.58	2.88	2.660	2.601	
Sp. H <sub>2</sub>	0.024	--	0.028	0.025	0.023	0.026	
Sp. Coke	1.404	--	1.197	1.126	1.066	1.287	

(1) 60 Grams Map/lb. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

TABLE II

Catalysts Containing 10% USY - 40% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - 30% CLAY - 20% SiO <sub>2</sub>					
Catalyst	G	H	I	J	K
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Treatment %	None 0	10% Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> + H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 4	10% Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Slurry 4	10% Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ball Milled 4	10% Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Slurry 4
STMD. 16 H @ 1400 °F					
Conv., % (FS-5078)	56.2	52.1	56.8	58.2	54.9
H <sub>2</sub> , %	0.064	0.050	0.057	0.063	0.065
Coke, %	2.15	1.27	1.86	1.85	1.86
Sp. H <sub>2</sub>	0.050	0.046	0.043	0.045	0.053
Sp. Coke	1.67	1.17	1.41	1.33	1.53

TABLE III

Metals Resistance of 10% USY - 40% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - 30% CLAY - 20% SiO <sub>2</sub> Catalysts (FS-5078)							
Catalyst	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Treatment	ppm. Metal	Conv., %	N <sub>2</sub> , %	Coke, %	Sp. H <sub>2</sub>	Sp. Coke
G	None	0	56.2	0.064	2.15	0.050	1.67
		2000 V	40.8	0.386	2.83	0.560	4.10
		500 Ni	52.7	0.157	2.23	0.141	2.00
J	10% Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ball Milled	0	58.1	0.063	1.85	0.045	1.33
		2000 V	48.5	0.367	2.61	0.340	2.78
		500 Ni	57.5	0.108	1.98	0.080	1.57

TABLE IV

DAV. Attrition, %				
(Catalysts contain 20% USY + 20 SiO <sub>2</sub> )				
% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% CLAY	Untreated	MAP Treated	Mg <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Treated
0	60	1.0	—	—
10	50	3.0	4.0	3.0
20	40	4.0	8.0	8.0
30	30	4.0	17.0	4.7
40	20	13.0	40.0	8.0

The scope of this invention is not intended to be limited to the aforementioned examples. Other variations in the process and products of the present invention will be evident to one skilled in the art.

#### Claims

1. A catalyst composition comprising: (a) a crystalline metallosilicate zeolite; (b) a non-zeolitic inorganic oxide matrix, said zeolite being dispersed in said matrix, and (c) discrete particles of phosphorus-containing alumina also dispersed in said matrix, said discrete particles having been prepared by contacting alumina having a BET surface area greater than 20 square meters per gram with a phosphorus compound selected from the group consisting of a salt of an alkaline earth metal (e.g., Be, Mg, Sr, Ca or Ba) salt of phosphoric and/or phosphorous acid and mixtures thereof, for a time sufficient to incorporate an effective amount of phosphorus in said alumina, said contacting being effected before and/or after the alumina is mixed with other component(s) of the catalyst composition.
2. The composition of claim 1 wherein said phosphorus is present in said discrete particles of alumina in an amount of at least about 0.1 wt%, preferably from 0.2 to 7.0 wt%, and more preferably from 0.04 to 2 wt%, based on the weight of said discrete particles of alumina, calculated as elemental phosphorus.
3. The composition of claim 1 or claim 2 wherein said zeolite is a Y-type zeolite having a unit cell size below 24.7 Angstroms (2.47 nm).
4. The composition of claim 3 wherein said zeolite is an ultrastable Y-zeolite having a unit cell size below about 24.5 Angstroms (2.45 nm).
5. The composition of any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein said matrix is selected from the group consisting of silica, alumina, silica-alumina, magnesia, zirconia, titania, boria, chromia, clay, and mixtures

thereof, and preferably comprises silica-alumina.

6. The composition of any one of claims 1 to 5 wherein said zeolite is an ultrastable Y-type zeolite present in an amount ranging from about 1 to about 70 wt%.

7. The composition of any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein said discrete particles of phosphorus-containing

5 alumina are present in an amount ranging from about 5 to about 50 wt%.

8. The composition of any one of claims 1 to 7 wherein said phosphorus is present in an amount ranging from about 0.5 to about 5.0 wt%, based on said discrete particles of alumina.

9. The composition of any one of claims 1 to 8 wherein said phosphorus compound is either magnesium phosphate or calcium phosphate.

10. A process for the catalytic cracking of a hydrocarbon feed which comprises contacting the feed at hydrocarbon cracking conditions with a catalyst composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 9.

11. The process of claim 10 wherein said catalytic cracking conditions include a temperature ranging from about 700° to about 1,300° F (371.1 to 704.4° C).

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55



European Patent  
Office

# EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 90 30 6107

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT									
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.5)						
D,A	US-A-4 584 091 (PINE) * Claims 1-11 *	1-11	B 01 J 29/06 C 10 G 11/05 B 01 J 29/04						
A	EP-A-0 209 240 (EXXON) * Claims 1-5 *	1							
A	EP-A-0 312 981 (PHILLIPS PETROLEUM) * Claims *	1							
TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.5)									
C 10 G B 01 J									
<p>The present search report has been drawn up for all claims</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Place of search</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Date of completion of the search</td> <td style="width: 34%;">Examiner</td> </tr> <tr> <td>THE HAGUE</td> <td>08-10-1990</td> <td>MICHELS P.</td> </tr> </table>				Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	THE HAGUE	08-10-1990	MICHELS P.
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner							
THE HAGUE	08-10-1990	MICHELS P.							
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document							
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document									

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**